



1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

1 : 28

第三十九回委員總會（臨時）日程

〔開催の場所〕

東京都千代田區内幸町二丁目一番地

株式會社整理委員會事務所

〔開會の日時〕

昭和三十四年四月十四日 午前七時

〔上程事項〕

決議事項

- (一) 決定指令の件
- (二) 過度の経済力の集中指定取消の件
- (三) 讀受財産對價決定の件

以上

決議事項

一、決定指令の件

「大建産業株式會社及び帝國石油株式會社に對する過度經濟力集中排除法第十一條第二項の規定による指令を、それぞれ、別紙第一、第二のように決定すること。」

二、過度の經濟力の集中指定取消の件

「左記七の指定企業者について、過度經濟力集中排除法第三條の規定による指定を取り消すこと。」

記

郡是製絲株式會社 片倉工業株式會社

三菱化成工業株式會社

三井化學工業株式會社

日新化學工業株式會社

昭和電工株式會社

東洋高壓工業株式會社

三、譲受財產對價決定の件

「合資會社辰馬本商店及び關東興業株式會社に對する譲受財產の對價を、持株會社整理委

貞會令第十三條の規定により、内閣總理大臣の認可を條件として、それぞれ、百三十六萬
一千二百一十一圓七十一錢、四萬一千九百一圓と決定すること。

別紙第一

株式会社整理委員會

昭和二十二年法律第二百七號第三條
により指定された

大建産業株式會社の件

指定者番號

一一

再編成計画に關する決定指令

1. 持株會社整理委員會（以下委員會といふ。）は、昭和二十一年法律第二百七號過度經濟力集中排除法第三條の規定に基く権限により、同法第六條の規定により公示された基準に基いて、大建産業株式會社（以下大建といふ。）を、過度の經濟力の集中として、昭和二十三年二月八日附を以て指定した。

大建は、過度經濟力集中排除法に基く手續規則第十四條の規定により、手續に關する正式の提出願を提出した。大建は、同法に基いて公示された鐵工業等の部門における過度の經濟力の集中に關する基準並びに配給業及びサービス業等の部門における過度の經濟力の集中に關する基準に關する説明書を委員會に提出した。

2. 委員會は、すべての手續當事者によつて提出されたすべての説明書、意見書及びその他の書類並びに過去のすべての事實を検討した結果、次の如く認定し指令する。

3. 大建は、昭和二十一年法律第二百七號過度經濟力集中排除法による過度の經濟力の集中である。

4. 大建の製造部門と商事部門は、別個の獨立した會社によつて所有せしめなければならぬ。かかる別個の獨立した會社の資産及び負債は、委員會の指示及び監督の下に作成された大建の整備計畫に詳記され且つ評價されなければならない。

5. 大建は、その整備計畫を、昭和二十一年法律第四十號（企業再建整備法）の規定に基き、決定指令通達の日より三十日以内又は委員會の承認した期間内に提出しなければならない。右の整備計畫が認可された場合には、本件に關する決定指令の一部となる。大建は、決定整備計畫を實行し、且つこれを遵守しなければならない。

6. この指令の實行を監督するために、委員會又はその後繼者より権限を付與された者は、大建又はその後繼者若しくは譲受人に對し適當な通告をした上で、(1)帳簿、臺帳、計算書、書狀、覺書、その他の記録及び書類を閲覽し、(2)會社の役員又は從業員に面接し、これらの役員又は從業員は法律顧問を伴うことができる。(3)本指令の實行に當然必要な報告を隨時要求することが出来るものとする。

7. この指令は、昭和二十一年法律第二百八號第五條の規定による貸付金の債權者の優先権を變更するものではない。

右の優先権を主張する債權者が、この指令の日から十五日以内に委員會に對し、貸付金額、貸付日、使途及び残高を明かにして、その債權の申出をした場合には、その債權は、別段の指令により確認される。

8. この件につき、關係當事者が委員會又は、その後繼者に對しとの指令の解釋又は實行について

て適切な指令をなす事を申出することができるようにするため、並びにこの指令の條項の訂正、
變更又は終結及びこの指令違反に對する處置をなすため、委員會は必要な権限を留保するも
のとする。

昭和二十四年四月十五日

持株會社整理委員會
委員長 笠山忠夫

別紙第二

持株會社整理委員會

昭和三十二年法律第二百七號第
三條により指定された

指定者番號

二三五

再編成計畫に關する決定指令

帝國石油株式會社の件

「株式会社整理委員會（以下委員會といふ。）は、昭和二十一年法律第二百七號過度經濟力集中排除法第三條の規定に基く権限により、同法第六條の規定により公示された基準に基いて、帝國石油株式會社（以下帝石といふ。）を、過度の經濟力の集中として、昭和二十一年二月八日附を以て指定した。

帝石は、過度經濟力集中排除法に基く手續規則第十四條の規定により、手續に關する正式の出頭届を提出した。帝石は、同法に基いて公示された鑛工業等の部門における過度の經濟力の集中に關する基準の適用に關する説明書を提出した。

2. 委員會は、すべての手續當事者によつて提出されたすべての説明書、意見書及びその他の書類並びに過去のすべての事實を検討した結果、次の如く認定し指令する。

3. 帝石は、昭和二十一年法律第二百七號過度經濟力集中排除法による過度の經濟力の集中である。

名帝石は、同社が直接或は間接に所有する他社の株式をすべて處分しなければならない。帝石は、この指令の日から三十日以内に、右株式の處分計畫書を、委員會に提出しなければならない。帝石は、直ちにそれ等の會社に對する支配關係を絶つと共に、これ等の會社との間に於ては各獨立せる會社間で行われる通常取引關係以外の關係はこれを持續してはならない。

4. 帝石は、直ちに石油鑛業權、その内容、その讓渡者名、その取得價格、その探採掘の面積、費用、引續き探採掘を繼續する場合はそれに要する見積額、その推定產出高、埋藏量及びその採算性の見積り等につき完全な表を作成して委員會に提出しなければならない。委員會は、過度の經濟力の集中の結果として帝石が他の者の石油の探採掘に從事する機會を制限し、妨害することを除去するため必要と認めるものある場合は、石油鑛業權の處分を命ずる権限を留保するものとする。

5. 帝石は、直ちに現在探採掘に利用していないすべての石油鑛業權、地上權、賃借權及びその他の權利の處分に關する計畫書を、委員會に提出しなければならない。

6. 帝石は、その整備計畫を、昭和二十一年法律第四十號（企業再建整備法）の規定に基き、決定指令通達の日から三十日以内又は委員會の承認した期間内に提出しなければならない。右の整備計畫が認可された場合には、本件に關する決定指令の一部となる。帝石は、決定整備計畫を實行し、且つこれを遵守しなければならない。

7. 本件に關する決定指令の實行が完了し、且つ昭和二十一年法律第二百七號に基く手續規則第五十一條の規定による手續終結指令が通達された場合には、本件に關する正式記録は、監視

のため公正取引委員會に移管するものとする。この指令は、公正取引委員會又は檢事總長の行う調査若しくは訴訟行爲及び同委員會又は東京高等裁判所が昭和二十三年法律第五十四號に基いて行う審決、判決又はその他の決定を妨げるものではない。

更にこの指令は、同法或は同法に基く命令の手續規定によつてなされる抗辯又は反證となるものと解してはならない。

9.此の指令の實行を監督するために、委員會又はその後繼者より權限を付與された者は、笛石又はその後繼者若しくは譲受人に對し適當な通告をした上で、(1)帳簿、臺帳、計算書、書狀、覺書、その他の記錄及び書類を閲覽し、(2)會社の役員又は從業員に面接し（これらの役員又は從業員は法律顧問を伴うことができる。）、(3)本指令の實行に當然必要な報告を隨時要求することが出来るものとする。

10.この指令は、昭和二十二年法律第二百八號第五條の規定による貸付金の債權者の優先權を變更するものではない。

右の優先權を主張する債權者が、この指令の日から十五日以内に委員會に對し、貸付金額、貸付日、使途及び残高を明かにして、その債權の申出をいた場合には、その債權は、別段の指令により確認される。

11.この件につき、關係當事者が委員會又はその後繼者に對し、この指令の解釋又は實行について適切な指令をなすことを申出ができるようにするため、並びにこの指令の條項の訂正、變更又は終結及びこの指令違反に對する處置をなすため、委員會は、必要な權限を留保するものとする。

昭和二十四年四月十五日

持株會社整理委員會

委員長 笹山忠夫

公文書 山原 大

昭和二十一年六月十日

本件の件名

三、被りてはるゝ事全般外傳をば別途申さざり、毎月會り、公文書開示留置
下請け業者等あつたる事申出さる所のものとぞ思ひ候。並ひて是の業者に付
る事のうち、開示漏れ漏れの事要火の事等を候つて、此の開示又開示下りて、

持株會社整理委員會

昭和二十一年法律第二百七號第三條
により指定された

指定者番號

一一

大建産業株式會社の件

再編成計画に関する指令案

1. 持株會社整理委員會（以下委員會といふ。）は、昭和二十二年法律第二百七號過度經濟力集中排除法第三條の規定に基く權限により、同法第六條の規定により公示された基準に基いて、大建産業株式會社（以下大建といふ。）を、過度の經濟力の集中として、昭和二十三年二月八日附を以て指定した。

大建は、過度經濟力集中排除法に基く手續規則第十四條の規定により、手續に関する正式の出頭届を提出した。大建は、同法に基いて公示された鐵工業等の部門における過度の經濟力の集中に關する基準並びに配給業及びサーヴィス業等の部門における過度の經濟力の集中に關する基準に關する説明書を委員會に提出した。

2. 委員會は、すべての手續當事者によつて提出されたすべての説明書、意見書及びその他の書類並びに過去のすべての事實を検討した結果、次の如く認定し指令する。

3. 大建は、昭和二十二年法律第二百七號過度經濟力集中排除法による過度の經濟力の集中である。

4. 大建の製造部門と商事部門は、別個の獨立した會社によつて所有せしめなければならない。かかる別個の獨立した會社の資産及び負債は、委員會の指示及び監督の下に作成された大建の整備計畫に詳記され且つ評價されなければならない。

5. 大建は、その整備計畫を、昭和二十二年法律第四十號（企業再建整備法）の規定に基き、決定指令通達の日より三十日以内又は委員會の承認した期間内に提出しなければならない。右の整備計畫が認可された場合には、本件に關する決定指令の一部となる。大建は、決定整備計畫を實行し、且つこれを遵守しなければならない。

6. この指令の實行を監督するために、委員會又はその後繼者より權限を附與された者は、大建又はその後繼者若しくは譲受人に對し適當な通告をした上で、(1)帳簿、臺帳、計算書、事狀、證書、その他の記録及び書類を閲覽し、(2)會社の役員又は從業員に面接し（これらの役員又は從業員は法律顧問を伴うことができる。）、(3)本指令の實行に當然必要な報告を臨時要求することが出来るものとする。

7. この指令は、昭和二十二年法律第二百八號第五條の規定による貸付金の債權者の優先權を變更するものではない。

8. 右の優先權を主張する債權者が、この指令の日から十五日以内に委員會に對し、貸付金額、貸付日、使途及び残高を明かにして、その債權の申出をした場合には、その債權は、別段の指令により確認される。

9. この件につき、關係當事者が委員會又は、その後繼者に對しこの指令の解釋又は實行について

て適切な指令をなす事を申出することができるようにするため、並びにこの指令の條項の訂正、
變更又は終結及びこの指令違反に對する處置をなすため、委員會は必要な権限を留保するも
のとする。

昭和二十四年三月二十五日

持株會社整理委員會

委員長 佐山忠夫

持株會社整理委員會

昭和二十二年法律第二百七號第三條
により指定された

太建産業株式會社の件

指定者番號

一一

事實の認定

株式會社整理委員會は、大建産業株式會社（以下大建といふ。）の商事部門並に纖維部門における同社の地位及び事業に關し會社が提出したすべての書類及び資料を審査し、且つ獨自の調査をした結果下記の項を認定する。

1. 大建は商事部門と纖維部門とを併有することにより同部門において競争を制限し他のものが單獨にこれに從事する機會を妨げる能力をもつてゐる。

2. 右の如き競争の制限及び機會の妨害をする能力は、公共の利益のために排除しなければならない。

右の如き競争の制限及び機會の妨害をする能力を排除する方法は、商事部門と製造部門とを分離することである。

名下記の經濟、製造その他に關する資料は、この件に關する指令案に關連ある要因の一部である。

3. 大建は勅令第六五七號に基く側限會社、法律第四〇號に基く特別經理會社、勅令第一三三號に依る特殊會社並びに法律第一〇七號に基く過度經濟力集中の指定企業者として指定せられた。

○ 沿革

大建は吳羽紡績株式會社及び大同貿易株式會社並びに三興株式會社の合併に依り昭和十九年九月設立せられたものである。

設立當時の資本金は八六三「九〇〇圓（全額拂込済）」であり其の後昭和十九年十一月公稱資本金「五〇〇〇〇千圓（内拂込一九三一五九五〇圓）となり昭和二十三年七月資本金二〇〇〇〇千圓（全額拂込済）となつた。

大建産業の前身たる三興株式會社は纖維原料及び製品を主体とし鐵鋼製品其他雜貨の國內取引及び貿易を営み、吳羽紡績株式會社は綿糸紡績人絹、スフ、毛織物等の紡織染色整理工業を主

A、大建の前身たる吳羽紡績は昭和四年七月設立し、設立時資本金は一〇〇〇〇千圓であり

設立より戦前（昭和十一年末迄）自己建設したもの四工場（吳羽工場、大門工場、入善工場、庄川工場）吸收合併したもの一二工場（井波工場、福野工場、新庄工場、濱松工場、猪名川工場、大野工場、岡崎工場、大淀工場、津工場、高萩工場、錦工場、驛家工場、大町工場、新居工場、大和川工場、豊科工場、長岡工場、足利工場、坂越工場）である。

以上當社建設工場四工場及び吸收合併工場五工場中現在操業中の工場七工場（内一工場賃借中）である。

B、大建の前身たる大同貿易株式會社は伊藤忠商事株式會社（三興株式會社の前身）より一部資産を引き、大正九年九月設立せられたもので設立時資本金一、〇〇〇千圓（全額拂込済）にして其の後二回増資をなし合併直前の資本金は四〇〇〇千圓であつた。設立時の支店數は四支店（横濱支店、紐育支店、ロンドン支店、マニラ支店）にして其の後新設せるものイロイロ支店、パコロット支店、ダバオ支店、シャイン支店、タクロバン支店の五支店であつたが戦争に基き何れも閉鎖している。

C、大建產業の前身たる三興株式會社は伊藤忠商事株式會社、株式會社丸紅商店、株式會社岸本商店の三社合併により昭和十六年九月設立したものである。設立時の資本金三六〇〇〇千圓（内拂込済二八五〇〇千圓）であつた。

設立時支店數は十三支店（神戸支店、東京支店（現在の姫路支店）、横濱支店、本町支店、上海支店、漢口支店（天津支店、青島支店、マニラ支店、カルカツ支店、紐育支店、船場支店（現在の大坂支店）、京都支店）及び、林業部（現在大建木材株式會社）及び京都機業場（本工場、西陣工場、有馬工場）並に尼崎支店（現在の尼崎製鉄所）であつた。

其の後新設せる支店は三十四支店（名古屋支店、小倉支店、臺北支店、高雄支店、京城支店、釜山支店、奉天支店、新京支店、ハルビン支店、大連支店、北京支店、濟南支店、杭州支店、太原支店、廣東支店、張家口支店、香港支店、海口支店、桂林支店、八所支店、スマラン支店、チレボン支店、昭南支店、メダン支店、バタン支店、バレンバン支店、メナド支店、シンガラジャ支店、西貢支店、ハノイ支店、バンコク支店、バンナラ支店、パンジエルマシン支店、ラングーン支店）であつたが現在大建に引継がれ操業し居るもの、八支店（大阪支店（元船場支店）、本町支店、東京支店、富澤町支店、姫路支店（元東京支店）、京都支店、名古屋支店、神戸支店）及び京都機業場（本工場西陣工場、庄川晒染加工工場）並びに尼崎製鉄所（元尼崎支店）である。

ク會社の規模

大建產業紡績部は設立時に於て綿糸布工場三工場（入善工場、大町工場、豊科工場）並に晒染色加工工場一工場（庄川工場）を操業、文賣販工場四工場、休止工場四工場であつたが、現在は操業中のもの七工場（内賃借一工場）であり、仍ち吳羽綿糸布工場（土地建物賃借中）、井波綿糸工場、入善綿糸布工場、大町綿糸布工場、豊科綿糸布及び毛紡織工場、坂祝綿糸工場、庄川晒染加工工場である。又大建產業商事部は當初林業部（現在大建木材株式會社）として創

て独立)の外五十二支店を有し、其の後新設せるものは五支店(金澤、小樽、高松、廣島、福岡)であつたが、戰時中及び戰後林業部及び四十四支店を閉鎖又は處分し、現在當社支店

は本町支店、東京支店、富澤町支店、姫路支店、京都支店、名古屋支店、神戸支店、金澤支店、小樽支店、大阪支店、高松支店、廣島支店、福岡支店の十三支店である。

此の外に紡織部門として京都機業場(本工場、西陣工場、有路工場)並に製釘部門として尼崎製釘所、尼崎製釘城東分工場を有する。當社の昭和二十三年十一月末現在従業員數一四六五八名(内譯本支社二九名、紡績一、九九八名、商事二〇七八名、京都機業場三六七名、尼崎製釘一八六名)を擁し、昭和二十二年末現在に於ける資本金一五〇〇〇千圓(内挿込一一九三一五九五〇圓)、總資産、二四二・七九六・一六六圓六六であり、又當社の仔會社は五〇社(所有株式数四百以上)を數べる。

8. 工場の分布状況

當社の紡績部門、製釘部門、紡織部門、商事部門に付いての工場の分布状況及び立地條件を記せば左の如くである。

A、紡績部門

工場は主として富山縣、長野縣に集中して居る工場立地條件は既地盤の豊富なる勞力動

力に恵まれて居た爲であるが他方輸送氣候(雪寒寒冷)等が現下の經濟事情下でけ央々輸送難による莫大な除雪費、燃料費の負擔となつて居る。各工場夫々所在地で原材料の獲得、販賣市場の開拓は困難なる爲中央事務所が工場相互間の連繋を保ち総合集約管理を行つて居る。

北陸地區一入善工場(綿糸、綿布)吳羽工場(綿糸綿布、土地建物賃借)井波工場(綿

糸庄川工場(晒染加工)以上富山縣

四寧^ノ中部地區一大町工場(綿糸綿布)(併^キ生^ヨ紗^シ供^ス布^ル)以上長野縣

坂祝工場(綿糸)岐阜縣

近畿地區一津工場(吳羽ゴム工業^レ賃貸中)三重縣

山陽地區一猪名川工場(異工業^レ賃貸中)兵庫縣

B、製釘部門

尼崎工場は舟運の便に恵まれ、原材料輸送に至便又労力源獲得容易なるが高潮事故頻發する爲危険分散の爲同城東分工場設置せるものである。尼崎工場は兵庫縣所在、同城東分工場は大阪府所在なるも距離は近接して居る。

C、紡織部門

京都機業場本工場、西陣工場（京都市）は共に絹織物の生産地であり京都西陣に所在し、原材料、労力、動力製品市場の面に於いても立地條件に恵まれて居る。有路工場（京都府）は所謂丹後縮緼の特產地である。

D、商事部門

全國主要政治經濟都市に支店網を有するがこれを地區別に示せば左の如くである。

關東地區	1 東京支店、堀留支店、富澤支店（以上東京都）
北海道地區	1 小樽支店
北陸地區	1 金澤支店
中京地區	ト名古屋支店
近畿地區	1 大阪本店、本町支店（大阪市）、大阪支店、京都支店
中國地區	1 神戸支店、廣島支店
四國地區	1 高松支店
九州地區	1 福岡支店

E、能力

A、昭和十二年末及び昭和二十二年末並びに復元後に於ける營社纖維部門の主要製品の生

産能力とその對全國比を比較すれば左表の如くである。

昭和十二年末、昭和二十二年末復元后能力表

種別	昭和十二年末能力		昭和二十二年末復元后能力		順位
	當社能力	對全國比	當社能力	對全國比	
綿紡	四九百〇八鍤	四・〇	三六八三八〇鍤	二・二	四二六四〇鍤
綿織	四五六二臺	一・二	三三五五臺	一・一	三七八九臺
毛紡	一	一	三八四鍤	〇・八	七七六〇鍤
毛織	一	一	六鍤	〇・八	二〇八臺
	一	一	六鍤	〇・九	〇・九五
	一	一	六鍤	一・一	二六六七
	一	一	六鍤	一・一	二七

（註）昭和二十二年末能力中梳毛、初毛能力は運轉可能設備數なり。

B、製糸關係の昭和十二年末能力及び昭和二十三年二月末能力とその全國比を見ると左表の如くである。

工場名	昭和十二年末能力	昭和二十三年二月末能力
當社能力	對全國比	當社能力
尼崎製針所	二〇八〇噸	一八%
	七二〇噸	六%

城東分工場	一〇八〇頃	一八%	一八〇頃	一、五%
計			九〇〇頃	七五%

○、組織關係の昭和二十三年二月末生産能力とその對全國比を比較すれば左表の如し。

工場名	昭和二十三年二月末能力 當社能力	對全國比	備考
京都機業場本工場	二六六九ボンド	〇〇六%	昭和十二年末の當社能力は不明
同 西陣工場	四五五	〇〇一	
同 有路工場	一三三五	〇〇三五	
計	四四四九	〇一〇五	

△、商事關係に於ける昭和二十二年一ヶ年間販賣高及二十二年末從業員數(同業者比較)
は左の如し。

昭和二十二年一ヶ年間販賣高 一、二二〇五一九千圓

會社名	販賣高(千圓)	從業員數(人)	順位
當 岩井産業社	一、二二〇五一九	一、八三八	一
岩 日 淺野、商 物產業	八七四二四七	六三二	
兼 松 商店	七五一三一六	五九八	
	五九九五五九	四〇八	
	五二六四五八	六四一	
	五 四 三 二		

即ち當社は纖維部門に於ては同業者中第三位以下に位するが、商事部門に於ては第一位であり、且他の同業者に比し約二倍の實績を有する。

昭和二十四年三月二十五日

持林實社牧理委員會
委員長 管山忠夫

支那事務局
監督課
通報課
出張課

より、日野の同業者より、本件の實況を取る。

但し、該社は外國に在る同業者中、甚だ不景氣である、前報同様に現行の外

郵便番号	東京二六四一八	六四一	計
通報課	五九二二一六	四三八	
監督課	五九二二一六	正八八	
出張課	五九二二一六	六三一	
通報課 監督課 出張課	六九四二一六	一八一八	三

前記二三事項の実況を取る。二三事項を一并する。

連合軍總司令部經濟科學局

A P O H C C

昭和二十四年四月十三日

602.1 (13 Apr 49) ESS/AC

覺書宛先 持株會社整理委員會
件名 郡是製糸株式會社

一、當司令部は、法律第二百七號に基く過度の經濟力の集中としての上記會社の指定を取り消す旨の持株會社整理委員會の意見に異存がないことを通告する。

二、持株會社整理委員會は、早急に、郡是製糸株式會社を法律第二百七號に基く指定から解除し、制定されている手續と方針によつて、公正取引委員會に對し、書類を移管するよう勧告する。

經濟科學局長に代りて

反トラスト・カルテル部長
エドワード・D・ウエルシュ

スリーラードセイジン

スルツルサガラ

敵軍を打つ。今度は大規模な空襲で、公津井は空襲警報を受けた。轟炸機は突然飛来して、轟炸機の爆弾が轟然と轟き落とされ、轟炸機は爆撃後、即ち火災で燃え、墜落する。

少佐　　日本空軍　　機動隊

202-1 (T2-22-18) 289TC

加賀島空襲空襲報告書

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS
Economic and Scientific Section.
APO 500

軍令監視委員會總部

三月三十日

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS
Economic and Scientific Section
APO 500

602.1(13 APR 49)ESS/AC

13 April 1949

MEMORANDUM FOR: HOLDING COMPANY LIQUIDATION COMMISSION
SUBJECT: Gunze Seishi K.K.
(Gunze Silk Manufacturing Co., Ltd.)

1. The Holding Company Liquidation Commission is hereby advised that this Headquarters offers no objection to the recommendation of the Commission that the designation of the above company as an excessive concentration of economic power under Law No. 207 be cancelled.

2. The Holding Company Liquidation Commission is further advised to take prompt action for removing Gunze Seishi K.K. from designation under Law No. 207 and for transmitting the files to the Fair Trade Commission in accordance with established procedure and policy.

FOR THE CHIEF, ECONOMIC AND SCIENTIFIC SECTION:

EDWARD C. WELSH
Chief, Antitrust and Cartels Division

concentration or economic power, including, so far as necessary,
combinations of two or more persons or firms to effect or to threaten
to effect a monopoly or other no object to the prevention of competition
being carried out. This notice combines paragraph 3 of the former
order.

(Gause DITK Industries Co., Inc.)
Gause Seisaku Kai

REPRODUCED FROM: NOTIFICATION OF MONOPOLY COMMISSION
TO ADOPT THE LAW
04/22/48

200
ECONOMIC POWER ACT
ADOPTED COMMISSION, 25 JUNE 1948
Gause Seisaku Kai

連合軍機司令部經濟科學局

A.P.O. 5500

昭和三十四年四月三十日

602.1 (13-Apr-49) ESS/AC

件名　　持株會社整理委員會
　　宛先　　持株會社整理委員會

〔昭和三十二年法律第二百七號に基く指定の取消〕

持株會社整理委員會に對し、當司令部の集中排除審査委員會が、左記會社に關する書類及び
附屬書類を審査した結果、各會社につき法律第二百七號に基く過度の經濟力の集中としての指
定を取り消す旨の意見を述べたことを通告する。

片倉工業株式會社
東洋高壓工業株式會社
三井化學工業株式會社
昭和電工株式會社
三菱化成工業株式會社

日新化學工業株式會社

二、前項の結果、持株會社整理委員會に對し上記會社を法律第一百七號の指定から早急に解除すること及び制定されている手續及び方針によつて、書類を公正取引委員會に移管することを勸告する。

持株會社整理委員會の公正取引委員會に對する意見具申に關する集中排除審査委員會の意見は、その意見を持株會社整理委員會から公正取引委員會に對する移管書狀に反映されるために、別途書狀により、持株會社整理委員會に通達する。

經濟科學局長に代りて

反トラスト・カルテル部長

エドワード・ロ・ウエルシユ

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS
Economic and Scientific Section
APO 500

EDWARD C. WELSH
Chief, Antitrust and Cartels Division

HOLDING COMPANY LIQUIDATION
GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS
Economic and Scientific Section
APO 500

602.1(13 Apr 49)ESS/AC

13 April 1949 -

MEMORANDUM FOR: HOLDING COMPANY LIQUIDATION COMMISSION

SUBJECT: Cancellation of Designations Under Law No. 207 of 1947

1. The Holding Company Liquidation Commission is hereby advised that the Decconcentration Review Board of this Headquarters has examined the files and pertinent papers with regard to the companies listed below and recommends cancellation of the designation as excessive concentrations of economic power under Law No. 207 in each case:

Katayama Kogyo K.K.
Toyo Koatsu Kogyo K.K.
Mitsui Kagaku Kogyo K.K.
Showa Denko K.K.
Mitsubishi Kasei Kogyo K.K.
Nishin Kagaku Kogyo K.K.

2. As a consequence of the above, the Holding Company Liquidation Commission is advised to take prompt action for removing the companies listed above from designation under Law No. 207 and for transmitting the files to the Fair Trade Commission in accordance with established procedure and policy. Certain recommendations of the Board to the Holding Company Liquidation Commission for recommendations to be made by the Commission to the Fair Trade Commission will be transmitted to the Holding Company Liquidation Commission in a separate communication in order that the Board's recommendations may be reflected in the transmittal letters of the HCLC to the FTC.

FOR THE CHIEF, ECONOMIC AND SCIENTIFIC SECTION:

EDWARD C. WELSH
Chief, Antitrust and Cartels Division

持株會社 合資會社辰馬本家商店

譲受財産の算價計算書 (昭和二十四年三月三十一日)

1. 謕受財産處分代金	¥ 1,614,418.00
2. 受入利息	¥ 1,951.377
3. 持株會社整理委員會令第二十一條による手數料	¥ 2,627.006
對 價 $\{(1)+(2)-(3)\}$	¥ 1,362,221.71
4. 交付金 (既辨済額)	¥ 700,000.00
未辨済額 $\{(對價)-(交付金)\}$	¥ 662,221.71

計算明細書

1. 處分代金 ¥1,614,418.0022年度(全期) ¥ 1,602,860.0023年度前期 ¥ 1,340,985.0023年度後期 ¥ 113,147.00

日 附	處分方法	讓受財產種類		一株 拂込金額 (圓)	拂込金額(圓)	一株 處分價額 (圓)	處分代金 (圓)
		銘柄	株數(株)				
23. 3.27	緣故	同和火災海上保険	2,289.8	1250	286,225.00	700	160,286.00
23. 6.14	31次一般入札	東京海上火災保険	5,150.	5000	257,500.00	1,221.4	629,021.00
23. 6.14		同	2,800	5000	140,000.00	1,231.3	341,964.00
23. 4.20	一般賣出	同 新	2,324	2500	58,100.00	115.00	267,260.00
23. 4.20		同 同	934	2500	23,350.00	11000	102,740.00
23. 11. 1	51次一般入札	三菱重工業新	1,100	2500	27,500.00	47.15	51,865.00
23. 11. 1		同 同	1,300	2500	32,500.00	47.14	61,283.00
		合計	36,506		825,175.00		1,614,418.00

2 受入利息 ¥10513.77

22年度前期 ¥ 2958.63

23年度後期 ¥ 7555.14

3 持株會社整理委員會令第二十一條による手數料

22年度(全期) ¥ 34075.76

23年度前期 ¥ 126021.56

23年度後期 ¥ 102612.74

¥262710.06

(内、證券處理調整協議會手數料
直務手費 ¥ _____)

¥ 9869.67)

¥ 7357.2)

¥10605.39

各交付金 ¥700,000,000

昭23. 7/4 ¥700,000,000 (債務辨済)

持株會社 関東興業株式會社

譲受財産の對價計算書(昭和二十四年三月三十一日)

1 謂受財產處分代金

¥285.000.00

2 持株會社整理委員會令第二十一條による手數料

¥243.099.00

對 價 (1)~(2)

¥ 41.901.00

計算明細書

1. 處分代金 ¥285,000.00

23年度後期 ¥285,000.00

日附	譲受財産種類	金額	處分代金	備考
24.3.31.	通知預金證書	285,000.00	285,000.00	三和銀行丸ノ内支店 證書番號 井30
		285,000.00	285,000.00	

2. 持株會社整理委員會令第二十一條による手數料 ¥243,099.00

22年度(全期) ¥355,486.5

23年度前期 ¥102,088.48

23年度後期 ¥105,461.87

AGENDA FOR THE EIGHT-NINE GENERAL MEETING (REGULAR)
OF THE HOLDING COMPANY LIQUIDATION COMMISSION

Place: At the principal office of the Holding Company
Liquidation Commission at No. 1, 2-chome,
Uchisaiwaicho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo.

Date and hour: At 10:00 A.M. on 14 April 1949.

Agenda:

- a. Items to be decided.
 1. Final orders.
 2. Cancellation of designation of excessive concentrations of economic power.
 3. Determination of the monetary equivalents to be paid for transferred properties.

25

Items to be decided.

1. Final orders.

"Resolved, that the orders to be issued to Daikin Sangyo K.K. and Teikoku Seisaku K.K. pursuant to Article 11, Paragraph 2, of the Elimination of Excessive Concentrations of Economic Power Law be decided as per attached sheets."

2. Cancellation of designations of excessive concentrations of economic power.

"Resolved, that the designations of the following seven (7) designated companies under Article 3 of the Elimination of Excessive Concentrations of Economic Power Law be cancelled:

Designee No. Name of Company

- | | |
|--------|-----------------------------|
| 1. 33 | Ganze Seishi K.K. |
| 2. 63 | Katobunka Kogyo K.K. |
| 3. 98 | Kitsubishi Kasai Kogyo K.K. |
| 4. 103 | Mitsui Kagaku Kogyo K.K. |
| 5. 125 | Mitsui Kaseishin Kogyo K.K. |
| 6. 207 | Showa Denko K.K. |
| 7. 242 | Toyo Kotsu Kogyo K.K." |

3. Determination of the monetary equivalents to be paid for transferred properties.

"Resolved, that the monetary equivalents to be paid to Gotoh Kaisha Tatsuta Honke Shoten and ~~and Matsukaze Kogyo~~ for their respective transferred properties be determined at Ten One Million Three Hundred Sixty-Two Thousand Two Hundred Twenty-One and Seven Seventy-One (11,362,221.71) ~~and~~ pursuant to article 13 of the Holding Company Liquidation Commission Ordinance, subject to sanction by the Prime Minister."

HOLDING COMPANY LIQUIDATION COMMISSION

EX-100-36-30024

In the Matter of:

Daiken Sangyo Kabushiki Kaisha
(Daiken Company, Ltd.)Designated under Art. 3 of
Law No. 207 of 1947Date: 15 April 1949
HCLC No. 11
FINAL ORDER
OF REORGANIZATION

1. The Holding Company Liquidation Commission, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," having designated Daiken Sangyo Kabushiki Kaisha hereinafter referred to as "Daiken," as an excessive concentration of economic power on 8 February 1948, pursuant to the powers granted to it by virtue of the provisions of Article 3 and in accordance with the standards adopted under the provisions of Article 6 of Law No. 207 of 1947; Elimination of Excessive Concentrations of Economic Power Law; Daiken having entered its appearance to the proceedings by the filing of formal notice pursuant to Article 14 of the Rules of Procedure Issued under said Law; and having filed its statements with respect to the applicability of the Standards for Excessive Concentrations in Industrial Fields and of the Standards for Excessive Concentrations in Distributive Fields adopted pursuant to said Law.
2. NOW, THEREFORE, having considered such statements, documents and recommendations as were filed by parties to the proceedings, and all of the facts before it, the Commission finds and orders:

3. That Daiken is an excessive concentration of economic power within the meaning of Law No. 207 of 1947; Elimination of Excessive Concentrations of Economic Power Law.

4. That the manufacturing and trading divisions of Daiken shall be owned by separate and independent companies and that the assets and liabilities of such separate and independent companies shall be more precisely described and evaluated in the plan of financial reorganization which shall be prepared under the direction and supervision of the Commission.

5. That Daiken shall submit its plan of financial reorganization pursuant to the provisions and requirements of Law No. 40 of 1945; Enterprise Reconstruction and Reorganizations Law, within 30 days after Final Order or such other period as shall be approved by the Commission; which plan of financial reorganization, when approved, shall become a part of the Final Order in this matter and Daiken are hereby ordered and directed to carry out and abide by said plan of financial reorganization as approved.

6. For the purpose of supervising execution of this Order, any duly authorized representative of the Commission or its successor, on reasonable notice to Daiken, its successors or assigns, shall be allowed (1) reasonable access to its books, ledgers, accounts, correspondence, memoranda and other records and documents (2) to interview its officers or employees, who may have counsel present, and (3) to request reports as may from time to time be reasonably necessary for the execution of this Order.

7. That this Order shall have no effect on rights of preference of creditors who have claims on loans which have arisen for business between the date of designation and the date of Final Order, as provided for in Article 5 of Law No. 208 of 1947. All creditors claiming such preference shall file notice of their claims with the Commission within fifteen (15) days after the date of Final Order, specifying the amount, date, purpose and unpaid balance. Preferred claims, if any, shall be stipulated by separate order.

8. Jurisdiction of this Matter is retained for the purpose of enabling any interested party to apply to the Commission or its successor for such further orders or directions as may be appropriate for the construction or execution of this Order, for the amendment, modification or termination of any of the provisions thereof, and for punishment of violations thereof.

(Tadao Sasayama)

Tadao Sasayama
Chairman
Holding Company Liquidation
Commission

Attached Sheet No. 2

HOLDING COMPANY LIQUIDATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

)
Teikoku Sekiyu Kabushiki Kaisha
(Imperial Oil Company, Ltd.)

) Designated under Art. 3 of
Law No.207 of 1947.

Date: 15 April 1949
HCIC No. 225

)
FINAL ORDER OF
REORGANIZATION

1. The Holding Company Liquidation Commission, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission", having designated Teikoku Sekiyu Kabushiki Kaisha, hereinafter referred to as "Teikoku", as an excessive concentration of economic power on 8 February 1948, pursuant to the powers granted to it by virtue of the provisions of Article 3 and in accordance with the standards adopted under the provisions of Article 6 of Law No.207 of 1947; ELIMINATION OF EXCESSIVE CONCENTRATIONS OF ECONOMIC POWER LAW; Teikoku having entered its appearance to the proceedings by the filing of formal notice pursuant to Article 14 of the Rules of Procedure issued under said Law; and having filed its statements with respect to the applicability of the Standards for Excessive Concentrations in Industrial Fields adopted pursuant to said law.

2. NOW, THEREFORE, having considered such statements, documents, all and recommendations as were filed by parties to the proceedings, and all of the facts before it, the Commission finds and orders:

3. That Teikoku is an excessive concentration of economic power within the meaning of Law No.207 of 1947; ELIMINATION OF EXCESSIVE CONCENTRATIONS OF ECONOMIC POWER LAW.

4. That Teikoku shall dispose of all shares of stock in other companies, owned by it directly or indirectly, and shall submit to the Commission within 30 days after this Order, its plan for the disposal of such shares of stock; and Teikoku shall forthwith discontinue any control over such companies and any other participation in their affairs other than that involved in normal business relations between independent companies.

5. That Teikoku shall forthwith submit to the Commission a complete list of its oil rights, the nature thereof, the names of the grantors, thereof, their acquisition costs, the extent of their exploration and exploitation, the costs of such exploration and exploitation, their estimated costs of continued exploration and exploitation, their estimated yield, the extent of their reserves, and their estimated profitability; the Commission hereby retaining jurisdiction to order such disposition of any such rights as shall be necessary to remove the restriction and impairment of opportunity of others to engage in the oil exploration and exploitation fields, resulting from Teikoku's excessive concentration of economic power.

HOLDING COMPANY LIQUIDATION COMMISSION

- 2 -

6. That Teikoku shall forthwith submit to the Commission its plan for disposition of all exploration, prospecting and mining rights, franchises, leases, or other rights which are not under present active exploration or exploitation.

7. That Teikoku shall submit its plan of financial reorganization pursuant to the provisions and requirements of Law No. 40 of 1946: Enterprise Reconstruction and Reorganization Law, within 30 days after Final Order or such other period as shall be approved by the Commission, which plan of financial reorganization, when approved, shall become a part of the Final Order in this Matter and Teikoku is hereby ordered and directed to carry out and abide by said plan of financial reorganization as approved.

8. Upon execution of the Final Order in this Matter and the closing of proceedings pursuant to Article 51 of the Rules of Procedure issued under Law No. 207 of 1947, the file in this Matter shall be transferred to the Fair Trade Commission for surveillance. This Order shall be without prejudice to any investigation or course of action which the Fair Trade Commission or the Public Prosecutor General shall commence or to any decision, judgment or other ruling which the said Commission or the High Court of Tokyo may render under Law No. 54 of 1947: Anti-Monopoly Law; and shall not constitute a defense or evidence in refutation of charges, if any, made in any proceedings under said Law or Cabinet Orders issued, thereunder.

9. For the purpose of supervising execution of this Order, any duly authorized representative of the Commission or its successor, on reasonable notice to Teikoku, its successors or assigns, shall be allowed, (1) reasonable access to their books, ledgers, accounts, correspondence, memoranda and other records and documents, (2) to interview their officers or employees, who may have counsel present, and (3) to request reports as may from time to time be reasonably necessary for the execution of this Order.

10. That this Order shall have no effect on rights of preference of creditors who have claims on loans which have arisen for business between the date of designation and the date of Final Order, as provided for in Article 5 of Law No. 208 of 1947. All creditors claiming such preference shall file notice of their claims with the Commission within fifteen (15) days after the date of Final Order, specifying the amount, date, purpose and unpaid balance. Preferred claims, if any, shall be stipulated by separate order.

11. Jurisdiction of this Matter is retained for the purpose of enabling any interested party to apply to the Commission or its successor for such further orders or directions as may be appropriate for the construction or execution of this Order, and for the amendment, modification or termination of any of the provisions thereof, and for punishment of violations thereof.

(Taduo Sasayama)

Chairman, Holding Company

Liquidation Commission

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
FOR THE ALLIED POWERS
Economic and Scientific Section
APO 500

2

41

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS
Economic and Scientific Section
APO 500

602.1(13 Apr 49)ESS/AC

13 April 1949

MEMORANDUM FOR: HOLDING COMPANY LIQUIDATION COMMISSION

SUBJECT: Gunze Seishi K.K.
(Gunze Silk Manufacturing Co., Ltd.)

1. The Holding Company Liquidation Commission is hereby advised that this Headquarters offers no objection to the recommendation of the Commission that the designation of the above company as an excessive concentration of economic power under Law No. 207 be cancelled.

2. The Holding Company Liquidation Commission is further advised to take prompt action for removing Gunze Seishi K.K. from designation under Law No. 207; and for transmitting the files to the Fair Trade Commission in accordance with established procedure and policy.

FOR THE CHIEF, ECONOMIC AND SCIENTIFIC SECTION:

EDWARD C. WELSH
Chief, Antitrust and Cartels Division

41

裏面白紙

SUPREME GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS
Economic and Scientific Section
APO 500

602.1(13 Apr 49)ESS/AC

13 April 1949

MEMORANDUM FOR: HOLDING COMPANY LIQUIDATION COMMISSION

SUBJECT: Cancellation of Designations Under Law No. 207 of 1947

1. The Holding Company Liquidation Commission is hereby advised that the Decconcentration Review Board of this Headquarters has examined the files and pertinent papers with regard to the companies listed below and recommends cancellation of the designation as excessive concentrations of economic power under Law No. 207 in each case: Nippon Steel Corp., Nippon Kisen Kaisha, Nippon Suisan Kaisha, Nippon Shokuhin Kogyo Co., Mitsubishi Kasei Kogyo K.K., Toyo Kotsu Kogyo K.K., Mitsui Kagaku Kogyo K.K., Showa Denko K.K., Mitsubishi Kasei Kogyo K.K., Nissink Kagaku Kogyo K.K.
2. As a consequence of the above, the Holding Company Liquidation Commission is advised to take prompt action for removing the companies listed above from designation under Law No. 207 and for transmitting the files to the Fair Trade Commission in accordance with established procedure and policy. Certain recommendations of the Board to the Holding Company Liquidation Commission for recommendations to be made by the Commission to the Fair Trade Commission will be transmitted to the Holding Company Liquidation Commission in a separate communication in order that the Board's recommendations may be reflected in the transmittal letters of the HCIC to the FTC.

FOR THE CHIEF, ECONOMIC AND SCIENTIFIC SECTION:

EDWARD C. WELSH
Chief, Antitrust and Cartels Division

CHINESE NATIONAL BANK LIMITED (Holding Company)

Statement of account on the monetary equivalent

sample for transferred properties (as of 31 March 1949)

1. Disposal proceeds of transferred properties £1,614,418.22
2. Interest received £12,513.22
3. Fees collectible pursuant to Article 21
of SMC Ordinance £263,710.26

Monetary equivalent payable (1 + 2 - 3) £1,362,221.22

Advance of funds (already repaid)

£700,000.00

Amount still payable
(Monetary equivalent - advance of funds)

£662,221.21

43

原本不明瞭

裏面白紙

Detailed statement of accounts

1. Disposal proceeds \$1,614,413.00

For whole year of 1947
For first term of 1948 \$1,240,985.00

For second term of 1948 \$1,173,147.00

Date	Method of disposal	No. of transferred	Value of transferred	Total account	Paid-up	Disposed price	Disposition
27 Mar. 1948	Retained properties	1,000	\$100.00	22,398	\$12.50	\$236,225. <u>00</u>	7.00
14 June 1948	Transfer to Tokio Yokoza	5,150	\$5.00	257,500. <u>00</u>	122.14	\$23,211. <u>00</u>	
20 April 1948	Open sale (new)	2,324	\$25.00	53,160. <u>00</u>	115.00	267,260. <u>00</u>	
20 April 1948	(new)	934	\$25.00	23,350. <u>00</u>	110.00	12,270. <u>00</u>	
1 Nov. 1948	21st general transfer to Tokio Yokoza (new)	1,100	\$25.00	27,500. <u>00</u>	47.15	\$1,365. <u>00</u>	
1 Nov. 1948	(new)	1,500	\$25.00	32,500. <u>00</u>	47.15	61,332. <u>00</u>	
	TOTAL	36,506	\$23,175. <u>00</u>			1,614,415.00	

2. Interest received: \$10,513.77
- For first term of 1948 12,958.63
- for second term of 1948 27,555.14
3. Less collectible pursuant to article 21 of 80th Ordinance: \$262,715.26
- For whole year of 1947 \$34,075.76 (including ~~disbursement~~ paid to 200
For first term of 1948 125,021.56 (" ") 19,569.67)
for second term of 1948 102,612.74 (" ") 725.72)
\$262,710.66
210,655.39
4. Advance of funds: \$700,000.00 (for repayment of debts)
- 14 July 1948

LANTIN MEIZO K.K. (Golding Company).

Statement of accounts on the monetary equivalent
payable for transferred properties (as of 31 March 1949)

1. Disposal proceeds of transferred properties 4285,360.00
2. Less fees collectible pursuant to Article 21 of HOJO Ordinance 2243,099.00

Monetary equivalent payable

(1 - 2) 141,961.00

Statement of Account

1. Disposal proceeds \$285,000.00

For second term of
1948 \$285,000.00

Date	Kind of transferred property	Amount	Proceeds	Remarks
31 Mar.	Call deposit	\$285,000.00	\$285,000.00	Deposit certificate No. 30 of Nambu Bank, Marunouchi Br.

31 Mar. Call deposit \$285,000.00 \$285,000.00

Deposit certificate
No. 30 of Nambu
Bank, Marunouchi
Br.

\$285,000.00 \$285,000.00

2. Fees collectible pursuant to article 21 of EACO Ordinance.

For whole year of 1947 \$35,548.62

For first term of 1948 \$102,098.42

For second term of 1948 \$51,451.32

原本不明瞭

裏面白紙

HOLDING COMPANY LIQUIDATION COMMISSION

In the matter of:

Daiken Sangyo Kabushiki Kaisha
(Daiken Company, Ltd.)

Designated under Art. 3 of
Law No. 2C7 of 1947.

Date: 24 March 1949

HCIC No. 11

PROCESSED ORDER
OF REORGANIZATION

1. The Holding Company Liquidation Commission, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," having designated Daiken Sangyo Kabushiki Kaisha hereinafter referred to as "Daiken," as an excessive concentration of economic power on 8 February 1948 pursuant to the powers granted to it by virtue of the provisions of Article 3 and in accordance with the standards adopted under the provisions of Article 6 of Law No. 207 of 1947; Elimination of excessive Concentrations of Economic Power Law; Daiken having entered its appearance to the proceedings by the filing of formal notice pursuant to Article 14 of the Rules of Procedure issued under said Law, and having filed its statements with respect to the applicability of the Standards for Excessive Concentrations in Industrial Fields and of the Standards for Excessive Concentrations in Distributive Fields adopted pursuant to said Law.
2. Now, THEREFORE, having considered such statements, documents and recommendations as were filed by parties to the proceedings, and all of the facts before it, the Commission finds and orders:

3. That Daiken is an excessive concentration of economic power within the meaning of Law No. 2C7 of 1947; Elimination of Excessive Concentrations of Economic Power Law.

4. That the manufacturing and trading divisions of Daiken shall be owned by separate and independent companies and that the assets and liabilities of such separate and independent companies shall be more precisely described and evaluated in the plan of financial reorganization which shall be prepared under the direction and supervision of the Commission.

5. That Daiken shall submit its plan of financial reorganization pursuant to the provisions and requirements of Law No. 4C of 1946; Enterprise Reconstruction and Reorganization Law, within 30 days after Final Order or such other period as shall be approved by the Commission; which plan of financial reorganization, when approved, shall become a part of the Final Order in this matter and Daiken are hereby ordered and directed to carry out and abide by said plan of financial reorganization as approved.

6. For the purpose of supervising execution of this Order, duly authorized representative of the Commission or its successor, on reasonable notice to Daiken, its successors or assigns, shall be allowed (1) reasonable access to its books, ledgers, accounts, correspondence, memoranda and other records and documents (2) to interview its officers or employees, who may have counseled, and (3) to request reports as may from time to time be reasonably necessary for the execution of this Order.

7. That this Order shall have no effect on rights of preference of creditors who have claims on loans which have arisen for business between the date of designation and the date of Final Order, as provided for in Article 5 of Law No. 208 of 1947. All creditors claiming such preference shall file notice of their claims with the Commission within fifteen (15) days after the date of Final Order, specifying the amount, date, purpose and unpaid balance. Preferred claims, if any, shall be stipulated by separate order.

8. Jurisdiction of this Matter is retained for the purpose of enabling any interested party to apply to the Commission or its successor for such further orders or directions as may be appropriate for the construction or execution of this Order, for the amendment, modification or termination of any of the provisions thereof, and for punishment of violations thereof.

(Kadao Sasayama)

Chairman
Holding Company Liquidation
Commission

HOLDING COMPANY LIQUIDATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

Daiken Sangyo Kabushiki Kaisha
(Daiken Company, Ltd.)

Designated under Art. 3 of

Law No. 207 of 1947

Date: 24 March 1949

HCLC No. 11

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Holding Company Liquidation Commission, having examined all documents and papers filed with it and having made independent investigation of the activities of Daiken Sangyo K.K. (hereinafter referred to as "Daiken") and of its position and practices in the commercial department and textile department, finds that:

1. Daiken possesses the capacity and power to restrict competition and impair the opportunity of others to engage independently in the commercial and textile manufacturing fields of Japan through the joint possession of these two departments.
2. That such capacity and power to restrict competition and impair opportunity must be eliminated in the interest of public welfare.

3. That such capacity and power to restrict competition and impair opportunity of others to engage in business independently should be eliminated by separation of the commercial department and manufacturing department from each other.

4. The following economic, manufacturing and other data are made part of these findings of fact all of which accompany the Proposed Order in this Matter.

5. Daiken is a restricted concern under Imperial Ordinance No. 657, a special accounting company under Law No. 40, a holding company under Imperial Ordinance No. 233, and a designated excessive concentration of economic power under Law No. 207.

6. History.

Daiken was established in September 1944 by the amalgamation of Kunreha Boseki K.K., Daido Boeki K.K., and Sanki K.K. At the time of its establishment its capital stock was ¥88,631,900 (fully paid-up). Later in November 1944 its authorized capital was increased to ¥150,000,000 (of which ¥119,315,950 was paid in) and in July 1948 its capital stock was increased to ¥200,000,000 (fully paid-up).

Sanko K.K., predecessor of Daiken, engaged principally in the handling of fibre materials and fibre goods, carrying on domestic and foreign trade in iron and steel products and other general merchandise; Kureha Boseki K.K. engaged in the principal business of cotton spinning, spinning, weaving, dyeing, and finishing of rayon silk, staple fibre, woolen fabrics, etc.; and Daido Boseki K.K. handled in a wide scope various sorts of merchandise in addition to finished fibre products.

A. Kureha Boseki K.K., predecessor of Daiken, was established in July 1924, with a capitalization of ¥10,000,000. From the time of its establishment down to the prevalence of free competition (until the end of 1936) it erected 4 mills (Kureha Mill, Daimon Mill, Nyuzen Mill, and Shokawa Mill) and amalgamated by absorption 2 mills (Inami Mill and Fukuno Mill). During the wartime (since 1937) the company further amalgamated by absorption 19 mills (Koga Mill, Chigusa Mill, Shinjo Mill, Hamanatsu Mill, Inagawa Mill, O.C. Mill, Okazaki Mill, Oyado Mill, Tsu Mill, Takahagi Mill, Misaki Mill, Ekiya Mill, Omachi Mill, Arai Mill, Yamatogawa Mill, Toshimura Mill, Nagaoaka Mill, Ashikaga Mill, and Sekaigi Mill). Of the aforementioned 4 mills built by the company and the 21 mills amalgamated by absorption, 7 mills are now operating (one of which mills has been leased).

B. Daido Boseki K.K., predecessor of Daiken, was established in September 1920 by taking over part of Ito-Chu Shoji K.K. (predecessor of Sanko K.K.), with a capital stock of ¥1,000,000. Thereafter the company increased its capital on two occasions. Its capital was ¥4,000,000 immediately before its amalgamation. At the outset of its establishment it had 4 branches (Yokohama Branch, New York Branch, London Branch, and Manila Branch). Afterwards it set up 5 branches, namely Iloilo Branch, Bacolod Branch, Davao Branch, Siain Branch, and Tacloban Branch, but all of them were shut down owing to the war.

C. Sanko K.K., predecessor of Daiken, was created in September 1941 by the amalgamation of 3 companies, namely, Ito-Chu Shoji K.K., K.K. Murubeni-Shoten, and K.K. Kishimoto Shoton, with a capitalization of ¥36,000,000 (of which ¥28,500,000 was paid in).

When it was established, it had 13 branches (Kobe Branch, Tokyo Branch—presently Horidome Branch, Yokohama Branch, Honmechi Branch, Shanghai Branch, Hankow Branch, Tientsin Branch, Teitao Branch, Manila Branch, Calcutta Branch, New York Branch, Seita Branch (presently Osakan Branch), Kyoto Branch, Forestry Department (now Daiken Mokuzai K.K.), Kyoto, Textile Workshop (principal Mill, Nishinjin Mill, and Ariji Mill), and Amagasaki Mill (now Amagasaki Nail Manufacturing Plant). Subsequently the company set up 34 branches, Tokao Branch, Kijo Branch, Fuzan Branch, Koten Branch, Shinkyo Branch, Hertin Branch, Dairen Branch, Peking Branch, Tsian Branch, Hsuehau Branch, Taiyuan Branch, Canton Branch,

Changchakow Branch, Hongkong Branch, Haikow Branch, Yulin Branch, Hassho Branch, Semarang Branch, Cheribon Branch, Shonan Branch, Medan Branch, Batam Branch, Palembang Branch, Menado Branch, Singaraja Branch, Saigon Branch, Hanoi Branch, Bangkok Branch, Bangnara Branch, Banjarmasin Branch, and Rangoon Branch). At present 3 branches (Osaka Branch, formerly Senba Branch, Honmachi Branch, Tokyo Branch, Tomizawacho Branch, Horidome Branch, formerly Tokyo Branch, Kyoto Branch, Nagoya Branch, and Kobe Branch), Kyoto-Textile Workshop (principal Mill, Nishijin Mill, and Anji Mill), and Amagasaki Branch, have been taken over and are being operated by Daiken Sangyo K.K.

7. Scale of the company.

At the time of its establishment the spinning department of Daiken operated 3 cotton yarn and cloth mills (Nyuzen Mill, Onmachi Mill, and Toyoshina Mill), 1 bleaching and dyeing processing mill (Shokawa Mill), leased 4 mills, and had 4 suspended mills. At present, however, the company operates 7 mills (of which one mill has been rented), namely, the Kureha Cotton Yarn and Cloth Mill (land and buildings have been rented), Inami Cotton Yarn Mill, Nyuzen Cotton Yarn and Cloth Mill, Onmachi Cotton Yarn and Cloth Mill, Toyoshina Cotton Yarn, Cloth and Woollen Spinning and Weaving Mill, Sakoffi Cotton Yarn Mill, and Shokawa Bleaching and Dyeing Processing Mill. At the beginning of the Commerical Department of Daiken it owned 52 branches besides the Forestry Department (now independent as Daiken Mokuzai K.K.). Later it set up 5 branches (Kanazawa Branch, Otaru Branch, Takamatsu Branch, Hiroshima Branch, and Fukuoka Branch). However, during and after the war the company closed down or disposed of its Forestry Department and 44 branches, and at present the number of the company's branches is 13, namely, the Honmachi Branch, Tokyo Branch, Tomizawacho Branch, Horidome Branch, Kyoto Branch, Nagoya Branch, Kobe Branch, Kanazawa Branch, Otaru Branch, Osaka Branch, Takamatsu Branch, Hiroshima Branch, and Fukuoka Branch. In addition to these branches, the company owns the Kyoto Textile Workshop (principal Mill, Nishijin Mill, and Arijimill) as a silk spinning and weaving department, Amagasaki Nail Manufacturing Plant and the Joto Branch Plant of the Amagasaki Nail Manufacturing Plant as a nail manufacturing plant. As of the end of December 1948 this company had 14,658 employees (consisting of 29 for the Head Office and branches, 11,998 for the Spinning Department, 2,078 for the Commercial Department, 367 for the Kyoto Textile Workshop, and 168 for the Amagasaki Nail Manufacturing Plant), with a capital of ¥150,000,000 (of which ¥119,315,930 was paid in) and total assets of ¥1,242,796,166 as of the end of 1947. The number of subsidiaries in which the company had 10% or more stock-interest is 50.

8. Distribution of plants.

The distribution and geo-economic conditions of plants belonging to the Spinning Department, the Nail Manufacturing Department, the Silk Weaving Department and the Commercial Department of the company are shown below:

A. Spinning Department:

The company's mills are concentrated chiefly in Toyama and Nagano Prefectures because these districts are favored with a rich supply of labor and electric power as far as geo-economic conditions are concerned. On the other hand, however, under the prevailing economic situation, there is a heavy burden of meeting heavy snow clearance expenses and fuel expenses arising from the transportation situation and climatic conditions (snow damage and cold weather) in said districts. Due to the difficulty of obtaining raw materials and supplies and developing the market in the localities of the mills, the company's central office is carrying out an over-all intensive control over its mills by maintaining inter-mill connections. Besides, the company owns mills in the following districts:

Hokuriku district:

Nyuzen Mill (cotton spinning and weaving), Aureba Mill (cotton spinning and weaving, using rented land and buildings), Inami Mill (cotton spinning), Shokawa Mill (bleaching, dyeing and processing)

All of the above mills are located in Toyama Prefecture.

Chubu district:

Omachi Mill (cotton spinning and weaving), Nagano Prefecture. Toyoshina Mill (cotton spinning and weaving, besides which woolen spinning and weaving is done by rented equipment), Nagano Prefecture.

Sakaogi Mill (cotton spinning), Gifu Prefecture.

Kinki district:

Tsu Mill (leased to Kureha Gomu Kogyo K.K.), which is located in Mie Prefecture.

Sanyo district:

Inagawa Mill (leased to Matsuni Kogyo K.K.), which is located in Hyogo Prefecture.

B. Nail Manufacturing Department:

Favored with the facilities of navigation, the Amagasaki Plant is in the most convenient position for the transportation of raw materials and supplies and can easily obtain man-power resources. However, since it is subject to the frequent occurrence of high-tide accidents, the company set up the Joto Branch Plant for the diversification of risks. Although the Amagasaki Plant and the Joto Branch Plant are located in Hyogo and Osaka Prefectures, respectively, they are located at a close range.

C. Silk weaving Department:

The principal Mill of the Kyoto Textile Workshop and Nishinjin Mill (both located in Kyoto) are situated in Nishinjin of Kyoto, a silk fabrics producing center. The Arisi Mill (Kyoto Prefecture), which is favored with geo-economic conditions in the phase of the acquisition of raw materials, supplies, labor, motive power, and market for its products, is located in the special producing center of the so-called Tango silk crepe.

D. Commercial Department:

The company owns its branch network in the principal cities of political and economic importance. The locations of its branches classified according to the districts are shown below:

Kanto district: Tokyo Branch, Horidome Branch, and Tomizawacho Branch (all located in Tokyo)

Hokkaido district: Otaru Branch

Hokuriku district: Kanazawa Branch

Chukyo district: Nagoya Branch

Kinki district: Osaka Head Office, Fomachi Branch (Osaka), Osaka Branch and Kyoto Branch

Chugoku district: Kobe Branch and Hiroshima Branch

Kyushu district: Fukuoka Branch

9. Capacity.

A. The following table shows a comparison of the company's productive capacity as of the end of 1937 and the end of 1947, and productive capacity for the principal products in its fibre department after restoration, with percentage of the national productive capacity:

Classification	Capacity as of the end of 1939		Capacity as of the end of 1947		Capacity after restoration		Rank
	Company's capacity	Percentage of national capacity	Company's capacity	Percentage of national capacity	Company's capacity	Percentage of national capacity	
Cotton spinning	496,408	4.0	368,380	12.2	429,840	10.8	3
Cotton weaving	4,562	1.2	3,325	2.1	3,789	1.6	6
Worsted woolen yarn	-	-	3,384	0.8	3,760	0.5	26
Spun woolen yarn	-	-	6	1.2	8	0.9	20
Woolen fabrics	-	-	96	0.8	108	0.9	7

(Note) Of the above capacity as of the end of 1947 the capacity for worsted woolen yarn and spun woolen yarn represents the number of operable equipment.

B. The following table shows a comparison between the company's capacity for the manufacture of nails as of the end of 1937 and the end of February 1948, with percentages of the national productive capacity:

Name of Plant	Capacity at the end of 1937	Capacity at the end of February 1938	Company's percentage of Company's capacity of national capacity
Amagasaki Nail Manufacturing Plant	2,000 tons	18.0	6.0
Joto Branch Plant	"	720 tons	1.5
Total	2,000 tons	18.0	200 tons 7.5

C. The following table shows the company's productive capacity for silk fabrics as of the end of February 1948, as compared with the national productive capacity:

Name of Plant	Capacity at the end of 1948	Company's capacity	Percentage of Company's capacity	National capacity	Remarks
Principal Mill of the Kyoto Textile Workshop	2,669 lbs.	"	0.06	The company's capacity as of the end of 1937 is unknown.	
Nishijin Mill	455 "	"	0.01		
Ariji Mill	1,232 "	"	0.105		
Total	4,449 lbs.	"	0.105		

ISLANDS IN SOUTHEAST ASIA, THE PHILIPPINES, AND THE
CHINESE COAST, AND THE INDIAN SUBCONTINENT, AS WELL AS
THE MIDDLE EAST AND PARTS OF AFRICA, AS WELL AS
THE AMERICAN CONTINENT.

- 1 -

- 3 -

D. The following table compares the company's annual sales for 1947 and the number of its employees of the end of 1937 with those of its competitors.

Name of company	Volume of business (in thousands of Yen)	Number of employees	Rank
1. Daiken Sangyo	1,222,519	1,838	First
2. Iwai Sangyo	374,247	682	Second
3. Nishio Sangyo	751,316	598	Third
4. Asano Bussan	599,559	408	Fourth
5. Kanematsu Shoten	526,458	641	Fifth

The subject company ranks below third in the textile division, but first in the commercial division, with annual sales of about two times those of any of its competitors.

40

STATE OF SOUTH AND CENTRAL ASIA COMPETITION.
STARTER, AND THE FIRST TO WIN FROM INDIA, WITHIN
THESE COMPETITIONS, IS THE STATE OF SOUTH AND CENTRAL ASIA.

2. KASHMIR STATE
3. AFGHANISTAN
4. IRANIAN STATE
5. MONGOLIA
6. TIBET
7. CHINA
8. DEUTSCHES REICH

9. STATE OF SOUTH AND CENTRAL ASIA COMPETITION.
10. STATE OF SOUTH AND CENTRAL ASIA COMPETITION.

11. STATE OF SOUTH AND CENTRAL ASIA COMPETITION.

